

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.mpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING ĎAT	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/778,604	02/07/2001	Paul Magee	D-1131 R	4603
28995	7590 07/1			
RALPH E. JOCKE			EXAMINER	
231 SOUTH MEDINA, O	BROADWAY H 44256		AKERS, GE	OFFREY R
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3624	
			DATE MAILED: 07/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\wedge$
Office Action Summary	Application  G9/778609  Examiner  About 9	Applicant(s)  Art Unit  Gonfirmation No.
- The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the coversheet be	neath the correspondence address -
Period for Reply	_	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY COMMUNICATION.	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH	H(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3the left NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall be a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply the left Any reply received by the Office later than three months at term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	0) days, a reply within the statutory minimul, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from will, by statute, cause the application to be	um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  The mailing date of this communication.  Come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)
/	0/2/12	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on		·
This action is FINAL. This action is		
Since this application is in condition for allow accordance with the practice under Ex parte	ance except for the formal matte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G.	rs, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 213.
Disposition of Claims	,	
Claim(s)	is/are pending in this application.	
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
Claim(s)	is/are rejected.	
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.	
Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or election
Application Papers		requirement
The proposed drawing correction, filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required i	is approved or approved or reply to this Office action.	disapproved by the Examiner.
The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objection t	accepted or objected to o the drawing(s) be held in abey	by the Examiner. ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
The specification is objected to by the Examir		. ,
The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).
All Some* None of the:		
Certified copies of the priority  Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received documents have been received	in Application No.
I   Copies of the certified copies	of the priority documents have h	een received
*Certified copies not received:	on from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes  The translation of the foreign languag	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisional application).
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. 88 1	20 and/or 121
Attachment(s)  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-144	<u></u>	
140tice of References Cited, P10-892	Notice	iew Summary, PTO-413 e of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revieus Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (07/01)	ew, PTO-948	

Application/Control Number: 09/778604 Page 2

Art Unit:

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Newly amended claims 1-43 have been examined.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Newly amended claims 1-43 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Walter(US Pat. No:5,992,570)in view of Bohnert(US Pat. No:6,092,626) and further in view of Nicoll(US Pat. No: 6,484,936).
- 4. As per claims 1-43 Walter teaches an automated teller machine(Abstract)(Fig 1)(Fig 3/77) and which can dispense cash(Abstract)(Fig 2/24)(Fig 19/976) and a display screen(Abstract)(Fig 2/38)(Fig 5/314)(Fig 6/408)(Fig 12). Bohnert teaches a service station transaction drive up terminal in a drive-through(Abstract)(Fig 2/17/18) which incorporates input parameters(Figs 3)(Fig 4).Nikell teaches an audio input and output(Fig 1/18).Nikell also teaches a visual recording means(Fig 2/114) as well as means for accommodating users of different heights and physical requirements(col 1 lines 33-col 2 line 50).It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine Walter in view of Bohnert to teach part of applicant's disclosure. The motivation to combine Walter in view of Bohnert is to teach a self

Application/Control Number: 09/778604 Page 3

Art Unit:

service ATN system dispensing cash which can be utilized in a drive-up mode as enunciated by Bohnert(col 2 lines 38-45). It also would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine Walter in view of Bohnert and further in view of Nikell to teach applicant's invention. The motivation to combine Walter in view of Bohnert in view of Nikell is to teach an ATM machine convenient for drivethough applications and which can accommodate applicants with varying physical requirements as enunciated by Nikell(col 1 lines 11-30).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Newly amended claims 1-43 are further still rejected under 35 USC 112(2nd) for failing to point out and particularly claim what applicant regards is the invention. The claims are too broad to read on any distinguishable features of the disclosure vis a vis the existing art. Additionally, claims 1-30 are apparatus claims.

### Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to amended claims 1-43 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

δ.	THIS ACTION IS MADE NON-FINAL.

Application/Control Number: 09/778604

Page 4

Art Unit:

9. Any questions concerning this communication should be addressed to the primary examiner of record, Dr. Geoffrey Akers, P.E., who can be reached between 6:30 AM and 5:00 PM Monday through Friday at 703-306-5844. If attempts to contact the primary examiner are unsuccessful, the primary examiner's superior, Mr. Vincent Millin, SPE, may be telephoned at (703)-308-1065.

The fax number for Formal or Official faxes and Draft or Informal faxes to Technology Center 3600 or this Art Unit is (703)-308-3687. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-1113.

July 16, 2003

DR. GEOFFREY R. AKERS, 2.E PRIMARY EXAMINED